

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/807,658	08/27/2001	Kazuya Nakada	M 6820 PCT/US	2363
7590 11/08/2004		EXAMINER  ZHENG, LOIS L		
Henkel Corporation				
2500 Renaissance Boulevard Suite 200 Gulph Mills, PA 19406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
		DATE MAILED: 11/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/807,658	NAKADA, KAZUYA
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Lois Zheng	1742
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SH THE   - External afternal	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state the provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (i od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute. cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.
Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	September 2004.	
		his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice unde		
Dispositi	on of Claims	•	
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1, 10 and 12-36 is/are pending in the	ne application	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	• •	
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	awii ii oiii oonolaarattori.	
	Claim(s) 1,10 and 12-36 is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor	
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		the Eveniner
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		
		Examiner. Note the attached C	mice Action of form PTO-152.
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreio ☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>		ceived in this National Stage
	application from the International Bure		
* 8	ee the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not red	ceived.
Attachment	(c)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T 1-4 2 2	(DTO 442)
2) 🔲 Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Sum Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date
3) 🔲 Inform Paper	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Tra TOL-326 (Re		Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 11032004
		· <del></del> •	, art or i apor No./Wall Date 11032004

Application/Control Number: 09/807,658

Art Unit: 1742

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Status of Claims

1. Claims 2-9 and 11 are cancelled in view of the amendment filed on 2 September 2004.

Claims 1, 10, 12-36 remain under examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 10, the claim limitations on "I" (i.e. "...I and n may be zero" and "wherein I is zero") are confusing. It is self-contradictory that "I ... maybe zero "and "I is zero" are in the same claim. Therefore, claim 10 is indefinite.

### Claim Objections

4. Claim 25 is objected to because of the following informalities: The component numbering in claim 25 does not start from A, which is inconsistent with the rest of the independent claims. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/807,658

Art Unit: 1742

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1, 10, 12-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sako et al. US 4,954,372(Sako) in view of Japanese Patent JP 06-116527 A(JP '527).

The teachings of Sako and JP '527 are discussed in the previous Office Action (See paragraphs 3 and 5).

With respect to the amended claims 1, 10, 25, 31 and 35-36 of the instant invention, the amended limitations are contained in the original claims 10 and 36. Therefore, the amended claims 1, 10, 25, 31 and 35-36 are rejected for the same reason as stated in paragraph 2 of the previous Office Action.

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 2 September 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, the applicant argues that

- (a) the applicant's claims are directed to a hydrophilic polymer that does not contain at least 40 number % of acrylamide moieties as disclosed by Sako, and(b) there is no teaching or suggestion by JP '527 to use the hydrophilic non-ionic functional group containing the claimed polymer.
- 8. In response to applicant's argument (a) that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the applicant's asserted features (i.e., hydrophilic polymer that does not contain at least 40 number % of acrylamide moieties) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of

Application/Control Number: 09/807,658

Art Unit: 1742

the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

9. In response to applicant's arguments (b) against the references individually(i.e. JP'527), one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986). Furthermore, the applicant is reminded that JP '527 is a secondary reference to be incorporated into Sako. The motivation to combine Sako with JP '527 is provided in paragraph 2 and 4 of the previous Office Action.

#### Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lois Zheng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROY KING
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

LLZ 11/03/2004